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• In 2012, European population at-risk-of-poverty (hereafter AROP): 17% of total population (Eurostat data for 28 countries) In Italy, 19.4%.
• Italian females persist to be more espoused AROP than males, accounting at 20.7% in 2012 (Eurostat database).

According to Eurostat: “The at-risk-of-poverty rate is the share of people with an equivalised disposable income (after social transfer) below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold, which is set at 60 % of the national median equivalised disposable income after social transfers. This indicator does not measure wealth or poverty, but low income in comparison to other residents in that country, which does not necessarily imply a low standard of living”
At European and international level:
- Income inequalities driver for health inequalities
- Increasing use of unhealthy processed food among lower income consumers
- Attention towards female role in food acquisition and preparation

At Italian level:
- Lack of studies targeting Italian lower income consumers food behaviours
- Lack of interventions targeting AROP consumers
According to the literature reviewed, consumers’ food behaviours and food-related lifestyles derive from the complex combination of factors or categories referring to three dimensions:

- psychological,
- social,
- quality attributes.
The psychological dimension includes all key factors referring to subjective perceptions, thus strictly depending on the individual character.

Main categories: consumers’ attitudes towards food, ethical concern and health issues, self-efficacy, self-regulation, trust, motivation, emotions, and preferences.

(Bagozzi 1992; Mahon et al. 2006; Shepherd 1999; Gibson 2006; Geeroms et al. 2008; Macht 2008; Anderson et al. 2007; Shepherd 2002; Pettinger et al. 2004).
Social dimension includes external factors that are not under the direct control of the consumers.
Main categorises: time, price, degree of information and knowledge, and accessibility of food.
Socio-demographic aspects, such as age, gender, education, household size and household income.

(Jabs and Devine 2006; Bech-Larsen and Kazbare 2014; Turrell et al. 2003; Grunert and Wills 2007; Larson and Story 2009).
The physical characteristics of the products (intrinsic cues) that contribute to the creation of quality expectations and experience. Main categories: nutritional, convenience, taste, and process attributes.

(Grunert 2005; Olsen 2012; Ragaert et al. 2004; Grunert 2002; Veale and Quester 2009).
The general objective of the research is to identify the factors influencing the food behaviours of AROP female consumers by adopting a empirical approach of investigation with a confirmative purpose.
The research foresees two specific objectives:

- The first one, achieved by the present study, is to shed light on the specific factors that AROP and more affluent Italian female consumers address as influencing their food behaviours, by analysing their possible accordance with the categorisations provided by the literature.

- The second objective is to highlight the relevance of these factors and their possible relations.
Materials and methods

Data collection

Four focus groups including AROP and affuent female consumers

Data analysis

Content analysis

Content analysis to identify the SC emerging for the four discussions

Content analysis to verify the correspondence of the SC with the categories identified within the literature

Analysis of importance and relations of the semantic categories with TFIDF and MDS technique
Data collection

• Four focus groups among AROP and affluent female consumers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>AFFLUENT</th>
<th>AROP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mature 41-65 year-old women</td>
<td>8 participants</td>
<td>9 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger 25-40 year-old women</td>
<td>9 participants</td>
<td>9 participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AROP: an household with two adults and one child aged under 14 the range was from 11.270 euro/year to 16.890 euro/year
Affluent: an household with two adults and one child aged under 14 the range was from 28.150 euro/year to 47.020 euro/year
Data analysis

The textual body has been elaborated through TextSmart software.

- Creation of a database of key words and concepts (aliases) expressed by all the participants.
- Identification of the semantic categories (SC) according to the interpretation of the context and general meaning that words or concepts took place at the stage of use.
- Fine-tuning definition of the SC according to their correspondence with the categories identified within the literature.
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCEPTS AND KEY WORDS</th>
<th>FACTORS - SEMANTIC CATEGORIES</th>
<th>THEORETICAL DIMENSIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taste, sensory liking, flavour</td>
<td>TASTE</td>
<td>QUALITY ATTRIBUTES DIMENSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typology of preparation (ready to heat, ready to cook, ready eat, etc.), packaging and conservation methods, duration</td>
<td>CONVENIENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific nutritional ingredients, variety of food, balance of ingredients, whole grains</td>
<td>HEALTHY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certification, labelling, origin, food safety</td>
<td>SAFETY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authenticity, freshness, seasonal, additives</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time, working in relation to time, convenient</td>
<td>TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost, price, promotional offers, saving</td>
<td>PRICE AND ECONOMIC ISSUES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family components, single, family</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD SIZE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information search, media use, awareness</td>
<td>KNOWLEDGE and AWARENESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product by brand, preferred brands</td>
<td>BRAND PREFERENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing preferences, store choices (e.g., specialised food store, discount, large not discount, market)</td>
<td>PURCHASING PREFERENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust, lack of trust</td>
<td>TRUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness, happiness, feelings</td>
<td>EMOTIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception towards organisation and planning</td>
<td>SELF-REGULATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability and lack of capability</td>
<td>SELF-EFFICACY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuse of food</td>
<td>REUSE ATTITUDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short food chain, animal welfare, environment</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL FRIENDLY ATTITUDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating habits by personality and involvement</td>
<td>FOOD ATTITUDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, disease, prevention, diet, well-being</td>
<td>HEALTH ATTITUDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

AROP younger consumers have not quoted any concept or word referring to trust category. Affluent younger group instead does not mention both knowledge-awareness and emotions categories. The affluent younger group has been the one providing the lowest number of contributions (175), followed by AROP mature group (181). AROP younger (223) and affluent mature (226) groups share instead the highest number of responses.

Convenience attribute is the category with the highest number of occurrences, followed by the taste attribute (175) and health attitude categories (125).
Conclusion

The results have confirmed the presence of all three theoretical dimensions among all groups investigated.

The analysis of huge amount of literature investigating the determinants of food behaviours was not exhaustive of all the sources identified, yet it was carried out so to make it functional to the objectives of the research.
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